Climate Change Impacts on Groundwater Recharge

Hoori Ajami

UC RIVERSIDE

Associate Professor of Groundwater Hydrology Department of Environmental Sciences University of California Riverside



Upper San Pedro Partnership Technical Committee Meeting

USDA

May 17, 2023



Human alterations to terrestrial ecosystems have created "anthropogenic biomes".

Human activities are shaping ecosystem dynamics in addition to geology and climate.



https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/40554/human-ecosystems



Freshwater availability is impacted by human activities and climate



Trends in TWS (in cm/yr) from GRACE observations (April 2002 to March 2016).





Water extraction for irrigation is the main cause of groundwater depletion.

Global distribution of groundwater depletion





Understanding climate-groundwater feedbacks in coupled natural-human systems are complex.

- Groundwater flow is slow and aquifers have long residence times.
- Detection of climate and humaninduced stresses on groundwater resources are challenging.
- Degree of surface water-groundwater connectivity determines system response.



UC RIVERSIDE

Understanding meteorological drought impacts on groundwater response time is important.

- What is the time lag between precipitation drought and groundwater drought?
- How do watershed properties control the time lag?
- How long does it take for aquifers to recover from a drought?



Faunt.2009. USGS



Matching a precipitation drought with a corresponding drought in groundwater level data remains a challenge.



Groundwater Level

- Observation wells in Climate Response Network (USGS)
- Unconfined aquifers
- Not impacted by pumping or other human activities
- Multiple years of data

Precipitation

- PRISM dataset
- Daily precipitation totals

266 observation wells with 10 years of data



Schreiner-McGraw & Ajami. 2021. Journal of Hydrology

The lag time between changes in precipitation and groundwater level is highly variable.



- Lag time at the start of a drought ranges from 1 to 185 months (mean = 20 months).
- Majority of wells have relatively short lag time (<24 months).
- Lag times are shorter in the eastern US.



A random forest model is developed to identify important factors controlling groundwater lag time.



Drought intensity during lag time (I_{DLT})

Drought severity (S) Drought intensity (I) Drought duration (D_D)

Depth to Water Table (WTD) **Mean annual recharge (MAPR)** Elevation (E) Hydraulic conductivity - aquifer (K_A) Hydraulic conductivity - soil (K_S) Canopy cover (Can) Transmissivity (T) Air temperature (TA)



Average groundwater recovery time is 3 years.

• For 85% of droughts, groundwater recovers within 10 years.





Groundwater recovery pathways are controlled by mean annual recharge and average water table depth.

- At sites with MAPR < 200 mm/yr: recovery is less than 50% in the first three post-drought years.
- Greater WTD alters the recovery trajectory and increases the groundwater recovery time with increased vadose zone thickness.





Magnitude of groundwater depletion during drought controls recovery



- Groundwater depletion is controlled by drought severity.
- If climate change increases drought severity, aquifer recovery will increase in the future, although potential increases in extreme precipitation may offset the impact.
- 12 Schreiner-McGraw & Ajami. 2021. Journal of Hydrology

time.



Accurate estimates of groundwater recharge is key in determining groundwater recovery process.

Groundwater recharge-WaterGAP model





(b) GCM mean 2070-2099



13 Portmann et al.2013. *Environmental Research Letters*



IPSL-CM5A-LR



MIROC-ESM-CHEM



Groundwater recharge partitioning varies among major aquifers.





Mountain system recharge (MSR) contribution to valley-fill aquifers is uncertain.



Armengol et al. (In revision)

Mountain-Valley Systems

- Poorly understood
- Lack of monitoring
- Uncertain MFR/MBR

Armengol et al. In Review





Previous Approaches

- Empirical equations
- Valley-distributed
- Mountain-lumped



Case Study: Upper San Pedro Basin, Arizona







The Upper San Pedro basin is about 4500 km².

Mean annual precipitation is 41 cm.

July through September are the wettest months.



Estimating MSR using empirical equations

Anderson Equation (1992):

MSR_a =
$$0.042(P_a - 203)^{0.98}$$

MSR_a: Annual recharge (mm/yr) P_a: Annual precipitation (mm/yr)

Seasonalize MSR using existing models and data?







How to seasonalize annual MSR?



Overestimates summer recharge



Normalized Seasonal Wetness Index





Seasonal precipitation thresholds in the USPR



Smaller precipitation thresholds in winter



20

Climate change impacts on recharge depends on precipitation projections.

Table 3Percent Change in Potential Evapotranspiration, Precipitation, and Recharge Based on Change Between Historic Mean (1950–2000) and Predicted Mean (2050–2099)									
Scenarios	PET _a	P _a	MSR _a	PETw	Pw	MSR _w	PET _s	P _s	MSR _s
ECHAM5-A1B	24*	-14*	-27*	32*	-1	-23*	20*	-21*	-32*
ECHAM5-A2	24*	-10^{*}	-20^{*}	32*	-2	-18	20*	-15^{*}	-23*
ECHAM5-B1	18*	-5	-9	23*	5	-6	15*	-10^{*}	-13
HadCM3-A1B	22*	0	0	29*	-7	-8	18*	4	8
HadCM3-A2	23*	7	15	29*	5	8	20*	9*	22*
HadCM3-B1	17*	-5	-10	23*	-9	-13	14*	-3	-6
*Mean difference between historic and predicted values are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).									



Kaweah River watershed is part of the CEAP watershed network.



USDA ONRCS United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Watershed area: 4000 Km²

UC RIVERSIDE

²² Schreiner-McGraw & Ajami. 2020. Water Resources Research

Accurate estimates of recharge depends on meteorological forcing.

Water Resources Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2020WR027639

Key Points:

- Uncertainty in simulated groundwater storage change is a result of topographic redistribution of uncertainty in precipitation forcing
- Subsurface flow pathways in both soil and deeper bedrock control watershed response to variable precipitation input
- Merging multiple precipitation data sets provides better results than merging simulated fluxes, due to high model sensitivity to changes in precipitation

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information S1

Impact of Uncertainty in Precipitation Forcing Data Sets on the Hydrologic Budget of an Integrated Hydrologic Model in Mountainous Terrain

Adam P. Schreiner-McGraw¹ 🔟 and Hoori Ajami¹ 🔟

¹Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

Abstract Precipitation is a key input variable in distributed surface water-groundwater models, and its spatial variability is expected to impact watershed hydrologic response via changes in subsurface flow dynamics. Gridded precipitation data sets based on gauge observations, however, are plagued by uncertainty, especially in mountainous terrain where gauge networks are sparse. To examine the mechanisms via which uncertainty in precipitation data propagates through a watershed, we perform a series of numerical experiments using an integrated surface water-groundwater hydrologic model, ParFlow. CLM. The Kaweah River watershed in California, USA, is used as our virtual catchment laboratory to characterize watershed response to variable precipitation forcing from headwaters to groundwaters. By applying the three-cornered hat method, we quantify the spatially distributed uncertainty in four publically



Uncertainty as predicted from the 3CH method for daily precipitation forcing data sets at 4-km spatial resolution.



Large differences in annual average precipitation and mean daily air temperature exist among multiple gridded products.







²⁴ Schreiner-McGraw & Ajami. *2020. Water Resources Research*

Utilized an integrated surface-subsurface hydrology model to simulate surface water-groundwater interactions.







- Integrated Groundwater-Land Surface-Atmospheric Model
- 3D variably saturated groundwater flow model
- Fully integrated overland flow process
- Coupled to the Common Land Model (CLM)
- 25 Kollet and Maxwell. 2008. Water Resources Research

Integrated Hydrologic Models



Contrasting findings on MFR & MBR relative contribution to valley aquifers



MBR: less significant pathway (up to 15%) recharging the Central Valley



Isogeochemical characterization of mountain system recharge processes in the Sierra Nevada



CA GAMA Data

MBR: primary pathway (>50%)



Integrating water chemistry and isotope data with numerical modeling



Isogeochemical-derived MFR/MBR ratio

Validate new model setup by quantifying MFR/MBR contribution to valley aquifer under predevelopment.

Isotope-derived water age/fluxes

28 Constrain the system using isotope-derived fluxes at given depths. Acero et al. In preparation

Detailed USGS CVHM + multidata geologic structure



IIG RIVERSIDE



- Lag time of up to 15 years exist between meteorological drought and groundwater drought.
- Average groundwater recovery time is about 3 years.
- Groundwater recovery time increases with increasing drought severity.
- MSR processes are complex and accurate estimate of recharge requires integrating geochemical tracers with hydrometric observations and numerical models.



Acknowledge Co-authors & Funding Agencies



Dr. Adam Schreiner-McGraw Dr. Juan Sebastian Acero Triana Dr. Sandra Armengol Vall Postdoctoral fellows at UC Riverside



Professor Thomas Meixner Professor Thomas Maddock III Professor Francina Dominguez Dr. James Hogan





Advanced Statistical-Dynamical Downscaling Methods and Products for California Electricity System Climate



CAREER: Characterizing Mountain System Aquifer Recharge in the Sierra Nevada Mountains of California



A Modeling Assessment of Agricultural Land Groundwater Recharge in the Kaweah River Watershed, California



Climate Change Impacts on Groundwater Recharge

THANK YOU

UC RIVERSIDE

Questions: hooria@ucr.edu





Upper San Pedro Partnership Technical Committee Meeting

USDA

May 17, 2023

